Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Nuclear Physics A 00 (2014) 1–4
Nuclear Physics A

Inclusive ψ(2S) production in p-Pb collisions with ALICE

Roberta Arnaldi (for the ALICE Collaboration)[1]

INFN Torino, via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino (Italy)

arXiv:1407.7451v2 [nucl-ex] 30 Jul 2014

Abstract

The ALICE Collaboration has studied the inclusive ψ(2S) production in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV at the CERN LHC. Measurements are performed, in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ decay channel, in a forward (2.03< $y_{\rm cms}$ <3.53) and in a backward (-4.46< $y_{\rm cms}$ <-2.96) centre of mass rapidity ranges, as a function of transverse momentum or event activity. The ψ(2S) production is compared to the J/ψ one through the double ratio $[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{\rm pPb}/[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{\rm pp}$ between the cross sections evaluated in p-Pb and pp collisions and by calculating the J/ψ and ψ(2S) nuclear modification factors. Results indicate that the ψ(2S) production is suppressed with respect to pp and, in particular in the backward rapidity region, the suppression is stronger than the J/ψ one. This unexpected difference between the J/ψ and ψ(2S) behaviour, not accounted for by theoretical models based on nuclear parton shadowing and coherent energy loss mechanisms, indicates the presence of sizeable final state effects on the ψ(2S) production.

Keywords: charmonium, p-A collisions, cold nuclear matter effects

1. Introduction

The high density of colour charges, present in a plasma of quarks and gluons (QGP) created in heavy-ion collisions, is expected to screen the binding between heavy (charm or beauty) Q and $\overline{Q}$ quarks, suppressing the production of quarkonium states with respect to the production in pp [1]. In this scenario, the different binding energies of the various $Q\overline{Q}$ states should lead to a sequential suppression pattern, where, in case of charmonium resonances, the more loosely bound ψ(2S) melts at lower temperatures with respect to the more tightly bound J/ψ. The NA50 experiment has indeed observed a stronger ψ(2S) suppression relative to the J/ψ one in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 17 GeV [2]. However, a strong modification of the ψ(2S) yields was measured also in p-A collisions [3, 4, 5], where no QGP formation is expected. In particular, a stronger ψ(2S) suppression relative to the J/ψ was observed at central rapidity (y), while at forward-y the two resonances followed a similar trend. This behaviour is interpreted in terms of cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects, such as nuclear parton shadowing, energy loss and $c\overline{c}$ break-up in interactions with nucleons. While the first two mechanisms are not expected to significantly depend on the charmonium state, the $c\overline{c}$ break-up probability is sensitive to the size of the object crossing the medium. More in details, while the coloured $c\overline{c}$ pair produced by gluon fusion evolves first into a colour neutral object and eventually into a fully formed resonance [6], its size grows, increasing the break-up probability. If the charmonium formation time ($\tau_{\rm f}$) is smaller than the nucleus crossing time ($\tau_{\rm c}$), the object experiencing the nuclear medium is a fully formed resonance which can be suppressed according to its binding energy. On the contrary, if the resonance forms outside the nucleus, i.e. if a $c\overline{c}$ pair not yet evolved into a J/ψ or ψ(2S) crosses the medium, a similar behaviour is expected, independently of the final charmonium state. As the collision energy increases, the time spent by the $c\overline{c}$ pair inside the nucleus decreases due to its large Lorentz-γ factor.

[1] A list of members of the ALICE Collaboration and acknowledgements can be found at the end of this issue.

The $c\bar{c}$ break-up contribution becomes negligible and a similar J/ψ and ψ(2S) suppression is envisaged. In contrast to these expectations, the PHENIX Collaboration has observed a stronger ψ(2S) suppression relative to the J/ψ in d-Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 200 GeV [7]. ALICE has now addressed the study of the ψ(2S) production in p-A collisions at LHC energies to shed some light on this observation and to clarify the role of CNM effects.

2. Analysis and physics results

The ALICE Collaboration has studied both J/ψ and ψ(2S) production in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV [8, 9]. Charmonium resonances are measured, through their dimuon decay channel, in the Muon Spectrometer, covering the pseudorapidity range $-4 < \eta_{\rm lab} < -2.5$. The two innermost layers of the Inner Tracking System provide the vertex identification, and two scintillator hodoscopes (VZERO) are used for triggering purposes. A set of Zero Degree Calorimeters (ZDC) helps to remove de-bunched collisions and to determine the event activity. Details on the ALICE experimental apparatus can be found in [10]. Data have been collected under two different configurations, inverting the direction of the p and Pb beams. In this way both forward ($2.03 < y_{\rm cms} < 3.53$) and backward ($-4.46 < y_{\rm cms} < -2.96$) centre of mass rapidities could be accessed, with the positive y defined in the direction of the proton beam. The difference in the covered y ranges reflects the shift of the centre of mass of the nucleon-nucleon collisions ($\Delta y = 0.465$) with respect to the laboratory frame, induced by the different energies per nucleon of the colliding beams. The resonance yields are extracted by fitting the dimuon invariant mass distributions with a superposition of signals and background shapes. For the signal pseudo-Gaussian or Crystal Ball functions, with asymmetric tails on both sides of the resonance peak, are used, while for the background a Gaussian with a mass-dependent width or polynomial $\times$ exponential functions are adopted. The ψ(2S) yield and its statistical uncertainty is obtained as the average of the results of the fits performed combining the various signal and background shapes, while the systematic uncertainty is given by the RMS of the distribution of the fit results. A total number of $N_{\psi(2S)}$=1069±130(stat)±102(syst) is obtained at forward-y, while at backward-y the corresponding figure is $N_{\psi(2S)}$=697±111(stat)±65(syst). The ψ(2S) yields are then divided by the acceptance $\times$ efficiency ($A \times \epsilon$) evaluated using Monte-Carlo simulations. The ψ(2S) $A \times \epsilon$ values, averaged over $p_{\rm T}$ and y, are (27.0 ± 1.4)% and (18.4 ± 1.3)% at forward and backward y respectively, where the quoted uncertainties are systematic. Details on the signal extraction, $A \times \epsilon$ correction and systematic uncertainties can be found in [8, 9]. The ψ(2S) production cross section times the branching ratio is computed as



Figure 1. Left: Double ratios $[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{\rm pPb}/[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{\rm pp}$ for p-Pb, as a function of y, compared to the corresponding PHENIX result [7]. The horizontal bars show the width of the y regions under study. For ALICE, the vertical error bars correspond to statistical uncertainties, the boxes (shaded areas) to uncorrelated (correlated) systematic uncertainties. Right: ψ(2S) and J/ψ $R_{\rm pPb}$ versus y compared to theoretical models. Vertical error bars correspond to statistical uncertainties, boxes to uncorrelated systematic uncertainties, and shaded areas to partially correlated uncertainties. The filled box on the right, centered on $R_{\rm pPb}$=1, corresponds to fully correlated uncertainties between J/ψ and ψ(2S).

B.R. $\cdot\, \sigma^{\psi(2S)}_{\rm pPb} = N^{\rm cor}_{\psi(2S)}/N_{\rm MB} \times \sigma^{\rm MB}_{\rm pPb}$, where $N^{\rm cor}_{\psi(2S)}$ is the number of ψ(2S) corrected for A $\times$ ϵ, $N_{\rm MB}$ is the number of minimum bias (MB) events and $\sigma^{\rm MB}_{\rm pPb}$ is the cross section for the occurrence of the MB condition [9]. The ψ(2S) production cross section in p-Pb is compared to the J/ψ one and to the corresponding quantities in pp interactions in

terms of double ratio $[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{pPb}/[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{pp}$. Since no pp data are available at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, the results obtained at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 7 TeV, in $2.5 < y_{cms} < 4$, have been used [11]. A 8% systematic uncertainty on the double ratio is included to take into account the different $\sqrt{s}$ and y range [9] where the $[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]$ is measured. Results obtained at forward and backward y are shown in Fig. 1(left), where a strong decrease of the ψ(2S) yields, relative to the J/ψ, is observed in both y ranges. The ψ(2S) to J/ψ double ratio is also compared to the result obtained by PHENIX in d-Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV [7]. ALICE results show that, compared to pp, the ψ(2S) is more suppressed than the J/ψ to a 2.1σ (3.5σ) level at forward (backward) y, while the PHENIX value shows a similar feature, at a 1.3σ level.

The charmonium suppression with respect to the corresponding pp yield is quantified through the nuclear modification factor R_{pPb}. The ψ(2S) R_{pPb} is obtained from the aforementioned double ratio and from the J/ψ R_{pPb} [9], as $R^{\psi(2S)}_{pPb} = R^{J/\psi}_{pPb}/[(\sigma^{\psi(2S)}_{pPb}/\sigma^{J/\psi}_{pPb}) \cdot (\sigma^{J/\psi}_{pp}/\sigma^{\psi(2S)}_{pp})]$. Results, shown in Fig. 1(right), indicate a stronger ψ(2S) suppression with respect to the J/ψ, reaching a factor 2 relative to pp. R_{pPb} are also compared to theoretical calculations based on nuclear shadowing [12] or coherent energy loss, with or without shadowing contribution [13]. Since the kinematic distributions of gluons producing the J/ψ or the ψ(2S) are rather similar and since the coherent energy loss does not depend on the final quantum numbers of the resonances, the same theoretical calculations hold for both J/ψ and ψ(2S). Theoretical models predict a y dependence which is in fair agreement with the J/ψ data, but in strong contradiction with the ψ(2S) result, indicating that other mechanisms should be invoked to describe the ψ(2S) production in p-Pb. The resonance break-up cross section in the medium depends on the binding energy of the charmonium state and could be, in principle, a natural explanation for the experimental observation of the different J/ψ and ψ(2S) suppression, as for low energy results. However, this process can only play a role if the charmonium formation time is smaller than the time spent by the $c\bar{c}$ in the nucleus, defined as $\tau_c = \langle L \rangle/(\beta_z\gamma)$ [14], where $\langle L \rangle$ is the average length of nuclear matter crossed by the pair and β_z is the velocity of the $c\bar{c}$ along the beam direction in the nucleus rest frame. Thus, at forward-y, the value of τ_c is about 10^{-4} fm/c, while at backward-y the corresponding quantity is $\tau_c \sim 7 \cdot 10^{-2}$ fm/c. Estimates for τ_f range between 0.05 and 0.15 fm/c [6, 14]. Under these assumptions, no break-up effects can be expected at forward-y, and even at backward-y the similar τ_c and τ_f can hardly accomodate the large discrepancy observed.


ALICE, p-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$= 5.02 TeV, 2.03 < y_{cms} < 3.53
EPS09 NLO + ELoss with q_0=0.055 GeV^2/fm (Arleo et al.)
ELoss with q_0=0.075 GeV^2/fm (Arleo et al.)
EPS09 NLO (Vogt)
J/ψ
ψ(2S)
R_{pPb}
p_T (GeV/c)
ALI-PUB-81981
ALICE, p-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$= 5.02 TeV, -4.46 < y_{cms} < -2.96
EPS09 NLO + ELoss with q_0=0.055 GeV^2/fm (Arleo et al.)
ELoss with q_0=0.075 GeV^2/fm (Arleo et al.)
EPS09 NLO (Vogt)
J/ψ
ψ(2S)
R_{pPb}
p_T (GeV/c)
ALI-PUB-81989

Figure 2. ψ(2S) and J/ψ R_{pPb} p_T dependence compared to theoretical calculations in the forward (left) and backward (right) y regions. The uncertainty definition is the same as in Fig. 1(right).

The ψ(2S) production has also been investigated as a function of the transverse momentum (p_T). The R_{pPb} computed at backward and forward y are shown versus p_T in Fig. 2. The ψ(2S) R_{pPb} shows at both rapidities a strong suppression, with a slightly more evident p_T dependence at backward-y. The ψ(2S) is more suppressed than the J/ψ, as already observed for the p_T-integrated result. Theoretical calculations, that fairly describe the J/ψ behaviour, overestimate the ψ(2S) R_{pPb} pattern. As the p_T increases, the $c\bar{c}$ pair crossing time decreases and, in particular for backward production, τ_c varies by a factor 2 from ~0.07 (at p_T = 0) to ~0.03 fm/c (at p_T = 8 GeV/c). The role of the break-up is therefore expected to be more important at low p_T, but, even if a hint for an increasing trend can be seen in Fig. 2 (right), no firm conclusion can be drawn from the data given the experimental uncertainties.

Finally, the ψ(2S) production is studied versus the event activity, as shown in Fig. 3. The event activity is determined by sampling the energy released in the neutron ZDC (ZN) and the link with the geometry of the collisions

is established assuming, for example, that the mid-y particle multiplicity scales with the number of participants nucleons [15]. To underline the fact that the centrality determination in p-Pb collisions can be biased by the choice of the estimator, the nuclear modification factor is, in this case, named $Q_{\rm pPb}$ [15]. The ψ(2S) $Q_{\rm pPb}$ shows a strong suppression, which increases with increasing event activity, and is rather similar in both the forward and the backward y regions. The J/ψ $Q_{\rm pPb}$ shows a similar decreasing trend at forward-y as a function of the event activity. On the contrary, the J/ψ and ψ(2S) $Q_{\rm pPb}$ patterns, observed at backward-y, are rather different, with the ψ(2S) significantly more suppressed for large event activity classes, again pointing to additional final state effects suppressing the most weakly bound ψ(2S).



Figure 3. J/ψ and ψ(2S) $Q_{\rm pPb}$ versus event activity in p-Pb at forward (left) and backward (right) y. The uncertainties definition is the same as in Fig. 1 (right). The bin 0-5% is not shown since it might by largely contaminated by pile-up.

3. Conclusions

We have presented the inclusive ψ(2S) production in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV. The double ratio $[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{\rm pPb}/[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{\rm pp}$ and the ψ(2S) and J/ψ $R_{\rm pPb}$ ($Q_{\rm pPb}$), obtained at forward and backward y, have been studied as a function of $p_{\rm T}$ (event activity). Both quantities indicates that the ψ(2S) is significantly more suppressed than the J/ψ, with a feeble $p_{\rm T}$ dependence, but with a visible decrease towards large event activity classes. Initial state effects alone cannot account for the observed difference between the J/ψ and the ψ(2S) behaviour and final state effects as the break-up by interaction with cold nuclear matter seems unlikely, given the short time spent by the $c\bar{c}$ pair in the medium, in particular at forward rapidity. Other final state effects as $c\bar{c}$ interaction with the final state hadronic medium created in p-Pb collisions should probably be considered to explain the unexpected observation.

References

[1] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. **B178** (1986) 416.
[2] B. Alessandro et al. (NA50 Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. **C49** (2007) 559.
[3] B. Alessandro et al. (NA50 Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. **C48** (2006) 329.
[4] M.J. Leitch et al. (E866 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 3256.
[5] I. Abt et al. (HERA-B Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. **C49** (2007) 545.
[6] F. Arleo, P.-B. Gossiaux, T. Gousset and J. Aichelin, Phys. Rev. **C61** (2000) 054906.
[7] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** (2013) 202301.
[8] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), arXiv:1405.3796 (submitted to JHEP)
[9] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), JHEP **1402** (2014) 073.
[10] K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), JINST **3** (2008) S08002.
[11] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), arXiv:1403.3648 (submitted to Phys. Lett. B)
[12] J.L. Albacete et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E22** (2013) 1330007 and priv. comm.
[13] F. Arleo and S. Peigné, JHEP **1303** (2013) 122.
[14] D. McGlinchey, A.D. Frawley and R. Vogt, Phys. Rev. **C87** (2013) 054910.
[15] A. Toia et al. (ALICE Collaboration), these proceedings.